	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/680,025	IKEMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Taylor Victor Oh	1625
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears to the series allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS the nerewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT ROOF the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	ears on the cover sheet wit (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commu IGHTS. This application is s	this application. If not included inication will be mailed in due course. THIS
2. ⊠ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-10</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examine	er.	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority up a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	e been received. e been received in Applicatio ocuments have been received of this communication to file	n No in this national stage application from the
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.		
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in	son's Patent Drawing Review - 's Amendment / Comment or 1.84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 CF	in the Office action of ne drawings in the front (not the back) of R 1.121(d).
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 		
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 □ Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	6. ☐ Interview S	ummary (PTO-413),
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No./Mail Date4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	Paper No./ 08), 7. ☐ Examiner's	Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	9. ☐ Other	Cecilia J. Tsang Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

Application/Control Number: 10/680,025

Art Unit: 1625

Reasons of Allowance

The Status of Claims

Claims 1-10 are pending.

Claims 1-10 have been allowed.

- I. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
 - The close reference for the current invention is Shi et al
 (Tetrehedron 55 (1999) p. 909-918).

Shi et al teaches the preparation of a (bis-trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-acetic acid in the following steps:

- a. converting bis-trifluoromethyl benzene to bis-trifluoromethyl
 benzyl lithium in the presence of butyl lithium and THF;
 b. converting bis-trifluoromethyl benzyl lithium to the
 corresponding Grignard reagent through transmetalation with
 magnesium chloride;
- c. alkylating the corresponding Grignard reagent with allyl bromide to produce an olefin;
- d. obtaining the desired (bis-trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-acetic acid from reacting the olefin with ruthenium chloride and sodium periodate.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,025

Art Unit: 1625

The instant invention, however, differs from the prior art in that the claimed initial step is related to the reaction of bromo-trifluorobenzene with magnesium chloride unlike the reaction of bis-trifluoromethyl benzene with butyl lithium in the prior art; the claimed procedure is involved in only two steps instead of the four steps in the prior art. Their processes are completely different from each other. In addition, unless all limitations of the claims are met, there is no prior art rejection. See <u>In re Zurko</u> 59 USPQ 2d 1690 (Fed Cir. 1991) and In re Lee, 61 USPQ 1430 (Fed Cir. 1991).

Therefore, the claimed invention would not have been obvious to the person with an ordinary skill in the art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning the communication after allowance such as sending all post-allowance correspondence should be directed to "Box Issue Fee" or faxed directly to PUBS at 703-305-8755. This will expedite the process of these papers.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,025

Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M/11/8/84

Gecilia J. Tsang
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600